ORDINANCE NO. 2336

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF REDMOND, WASHINGTON, ADOPTING THE HEARING EXAMINER'S DECEMBER 20, 2006 RECOMMENDATION TO APPROVE WITH CONDITIONS THE AVONDALE CREST PLANNED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AND ESTABLISHING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, Real Estate Capital LLC, herein referred to as applicant, submitted an application to develop a 9-lot, Planned Residential Development (PRD) on 2.85 acres located at the northwest corner of Avondale Road NE and NE 104th Street; and

WHEREAS, a Planned Residential Development is a Type IV permit decision; and

WHEREAS, the Redmond Community Development Guide authorizes the Technical Committee to make findings of fact, conclusions of law, and conditions of approval for the short plat; and

WHEREAS, the Redmond Community Development Guide authorizes the Hearing Examiner to conduct an open record hearing for the Planned Residential Development and make a recommendation with findings of fact, conclusions of law and conditions of approval for the PRD to the City Council for consideration; and

WHEREAS, on December 11, 2006, the Hearing Examiner conducted an open record hearing to receive and review the application record and to hear verbal testimony relative to the Avondale Crest Planned Residential Development (L050168); and

WHEREAS, on December 20, 2006, the Hearing Examiner recommended approval of the Avondale Crest PRD (L050168) subject to conditions, NOW, THEREFORE,

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF REDMOND, WASHINGTON, DO ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Findings, Conclusions, and Conditions of Approval. After carefully reviewing the record and considering the evidence and arguments in the record and in the Hearing Examiner's recommendation, the City Council hereby adopts the findings, analysis, and conclusions in the Hearing Examiner's recommendation for the Avondale Crest PRD dated December 20, 2006.

Section 2. Approval. The City Council hereby approves the Avondale Crest Planned Residential Development, subject to the conditions of approval identified in the Hearing Examiner's recommendation dated December 20, 2006.

Section 3. <u>Duties of Planning Director</u>. The Planning Director is hereby authorized and directed to make any appropriate notations on the official zoning map of the city in order to reflect the approval of the PRD as provided in this ordinance.

Section 4. Severability. If any section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance should be held to be invalid or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity or unconstitutionality shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of any other section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance.

Section 5. Effective Date. This ordinance, being an exercise of a power specifically delegated to the City's legislative body, is not subject to referendum, and shall take effect five (5) days after passage and publication of an approved summary thereof consisting of the title.

CITY OF REDMOND

NANCY MCCORMICK, MAYOR PRO TEMPORE

ATTEST/AUTHENTICATED:

MALISA FILES, CITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY:

Ву: 🔙

FILED WITH THE CITY CLERK:

PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL:

SIGNED BY THE MAYOR: pro tem

PUBLISHED:

EFFECTIVE DATE:

ORDINANCE NO.: 2336

April 12, 2007

April 17, 2007

April 17, 2007

April 23, 2007

April 28, 2007

BEFORE THE HEARING EXAMINE	R FOR THE CITY OF REDMOND
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION) OF REAL ESTATE CAPITAL, LLC FOR APPROVAL OF A PLANNED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT FOR AVONDALE CREST AND THE ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL OF SUSAN WILKINS	File No: L050168, L060456 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION Avondale Crest
DECISION AND REC	COMMENDATION
The appeal of Susan Wilkins is DENIED . The Hearing Examiner recommends APPROVAI Avondale Crest.	of the Planned Residential Development for
INTRODU	<u>ICTION</u>
The application of Real Estate Capital LLC., (Applican Development for the short plat of Avondale Crest., tog of the short plat came on for hearing before Gordon F. 2006 at 7:00PM.	ether with an appeal of the administrative approval
The Technical Committee Report was presented by represented by James Barnett, of D.R. Strong Con appeared <i>pro se</i> .	•
Testifying under oath were: Kerry Kriner, Senior Planner	

Avondale Crest PRD Administrative Appeal December 20, 2006 Page 1 of 11 City of Redmond Office of the Hearing Examiner P.O. Box 97010 Redmond, WA 98073-9710

- 3. *PRD*. Applicant seeks approval of a Planned Residential Development in order to modify the minimum lot size and minimum lot width circle in the R-3 zone. Average lot size required in the R-3 zone is 12,000 sq.ft. Applicant proposes 7,447 sq.ft. Minimum lot width circle in the zone is 60 feet. Applicant proposes 45 feet.
- 4. *Design Standards*. The construction specifications and design standards for streets and access are contained in Appendix 20D-3 of the RCDG. The minimum vertical and horizontal curve standards for arterials and neighborhood collector streets provides a minimum stopping sight distance of 450 feet. The Director of Public Works may authorize variances from the standard in specific situations where conditions warrant. (RCDG page 471)
- 5. Stopping Sight Distance. The stopping sight distance to the access point of the plat for a vehicle traveling east is only 300 feet. Applicant submitted a traffic analysis using AASHTO's policy on geometric design of highways and streets, which concluded that there was 300 feet of stopping sight distance at this location. The Public Works Director accepted this stopping sight distance here and will require a fixed radar system which measures and displays the speed of a vehicle traveling on this section of NE 104th Street. The plat will generate about 10 peak hour trips in and out of the plat.
- 6. *Trees*. There are 102 significant trees on the site. Applicant will save 44% of these trees. Two of the three landmark trees will be removed under an exemption granted by the Technical Committee. There are no critical areas on the site.
- 7. *SEPA*. A Determination of Non-Significance (DNS) for the proposal was issued on December 5, 2005. There was no public comment or appeal.
- 8. *Impact Fees*. Applicant will pay impact fees for fire, transportation, parks, King County transportation, stormwater, capital, and schools.

9. Other aspects of the proposal are contained in the Technical Committee Report (Exhibit A) which is incorporated herein by reference, in order to avoid needless repetition.

10. Any conclusion of law deemed to be a finding of fact is hereby adopted as such.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- The Hearing Examiner is authorized to conduct a hearing and make a decision on an appeal of a decision approving a short plat, and to make a recommendation on an application for approval of a Planned Residential Development. RCDG 20F.30.35, 20F.30.45.
- 2. An appeal of a short plat may be granted if the Appellant has carried the burden of proof, and the decision of the Technical Committee is not supported by a preponderance of the evidence. The Hearing Examiner is to accord substantial weight to the decision of the Technical Committee. RCDG 20F.30.35-110.
- 3. The location of the access point on this hill will present a new safety hazard for motorists especially at night. The curve in the road coupled with the steep grade will limit visibility of cars turning left into the site to about 300 feet. The normal stopping sight distance for arterials is 450 feet, but the Director of Public Works has discretion to grant variances from this standard in specific instances. The fixed radar system will remind motorists of the speed limit and alert them if they exceed it. Finally, it will be within the authority of the Public Works Director to prohibit left turns into the site at a later time under the City's general authority to regulate traffic. Appellant's request that left turns in and out of the site should be denied.
- 4. The City Council may approve a PRD proposal if it meets the requirements of RCDG 20C.30.105 and the design of the development achieves two or more of a schedule of results listed in RCDG 20C.30.105-040 (Design Criteria). A PRD is permitted only in a

29

30

residential zone, the primary use must be residential, and the process may not be applied to a single-family lot incapable of further subdivision so as to avoid its use when other processes are applicable. Development standards may be modified in a PRD.

- 5. The schedule of results for a Planned Residential Development is set forth in RCDG 20C.30.105-040:
 - a. High quality architectural design, placement, relationship or orientation of structures:
 - b. Achieving allowable densities for the subject property;
 - c. Providing housing types that effectively serve the affordable housing needs of the community;
 - d. Improving circulation patterns or the screening of parking facilities;
 - e. Minimizing the use of impervious surfacing materials;
 - f. Increasing open space or recreational facilities on-site;
 - g. Landscaping, buffering, or screening in or around the proposed PRD;
 - h. Providing public facilities;
 - i. Preserving, enhancing or rehabilitating natural features of the subject property such as significant woodlands, wildlife habitats or streams;
 - j. Incorporating energy efficient site design or building features;
 - k. Providing for an efficient use of infrastructure.
- 6. Applicant must show that its proposal achieves two or more of these results. Staff has demonstrated that the proposal meets most if not all of the design criteria. The Planned Residential Development modifying the lot area and minimum lot circle should be granted.
- 7. Any finding of fact deemed to be a conclusion of law is hereby adopted as such.

1	DECISION		
2			
3	The appeal of Susan Wilkins of the Avondale Crest short plat is DENIED .		
4			
5	RECOMMENDATION		
6			
7	The Hearing Examiner recommends that the Planned Residential Development for Avondalo		
8	Crest be APPROVED , subject to conditions attached hereto as Attachment B.		
9			
10			
11			
12	Done this 20 th day of December, 2006		
13			
14	/S/ Gordon F. Crandall		
15	Gordon F. Crandall		
16	Hearing Examiner		
17			
18			
19	Attachment A: Site Plan Attachment B: Conditions of Approval		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
29			
30			

PROCEDURE FOR RECONSIDERATION Any person who participated in the hearing may file a written request for reconsideration by the

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

23

22

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

Examiner *Pro Tem.* Requests for reconsideration must be filed with the Hearing Examiner's

Office, City of Redmond, Mail Stop: 3NFN, 15670 NE 85th Street, P.O. Box 97010, Redmond Washington, 98073-9710, not later than 5:00 p.m. on January 8, 2007. A request for

reconsideration shall explicitly set forth alleged errors of procedure or fact. Timely filed requests

for reconsideration will be processed pursuant to RCDG, Appendix 20F-2, §IX.C.3.

NOTICE OF RIGHT OF APPEAL

You are hereby notified that the foregoing Findings of Fact, Conclusions, and Decision are the final action on this application subject to the right of appeal to the Redmond City Council. Appeal procedures are governed by RCDG 20F.30.40-110 (Ordinance 2118) to which the reader is referred for detailed instructions. The written appeal must be received by the **Redmond** Permit Center no later than 5:00 P.M. on January 8, 2007, or within 10 business days following final action by the Hearing Examiner if a request for reconsideration is filed.

Please include the application number on any correspondence regarding this case.

The following statement is provided pursuant to RCW 36.70B.130: "Affected property owners may request a change in valuation for property tax purposes notwithstanding any program of revaluation."

ATTACHMENT A SITE PLAN × Avondale Crest PRD City of Redmond Administrative Appeal December 20, 2006 Page 8 of 11 Office of the Hearing Examiner P.O. Box 97010

Redmond, WA 98073-9710

ATTACHMENT B CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

A. General Conditions of Approval

The recommended conditions of approval in Section VIII.B are site specific in nature and do not encompass all codes and regulations applicable to this project. This approval is subject to all general criteria of all applicable City of Redmond codes and regulations, including the following:

Redmond Community Development Guide

Redmond Municipal Code, Title 12 – Street and Sidewalks

Redmond Municipal Code, Title 13 – Water and Sewers

Redmond Municipal Code, Title 15 – Building and Construction

Stormwater Technical Notebook, Issue No. 4 (2004)

Record Drawing Requirements, Version 10-2005 (2005)

Please see <u>Attachment 2</u>, <u>Notice of Decision for Avondale Crest Short Plat</u> for more detailed information General Conditions and submittal requirements for Civil Plan Review.

The following table identifies those materials that are approved with conditions a part of this decision. The "Date Received" is the date that is stamped as "Received" by the Development Services Center.

Item	Date Received	Notes
Plan Set, Sheet C1-C11,	9/18/06	and as conditioned herein.
L1-L3		
SEPA Checklist	5/9/05	and as conditioned herein
		and as conditioned by the

		SEPA threshold
		determination on 12/5/05
Architectural Elevations	9/18/06	and as conditioned herein.
Conceptual Landscaping	9/18/06	and as conditioned herein.
Plan, Sheets L1-L3		
Proposed Tree Preservation	9/18/06	and as conditioned herein.
Plan, Sheet C2		

B. Site Specific Conditions

I. Planning Conditions

Reviewer: Kerry Kriner, Associate Planner

Phone: 425-556-2464

Email: kkriner@redmond.gov

 Conditions of Approval. The applicant shall comply with all conditions of approval of the Preliminary Short Plat Decision issued by the Technical Committee on October 17, 2006.

Code Authority: RCDG 20F.40.150-040

1. **Architectural Elevation Approval.** All single-family building permits associated with the Avondale Crest PRD/Short Plat shall be reviewed by the Department of Planning and Community Development for conformance to the approved building elevations.

Code Authority: RCDG 20C.30.105-040

2. **Noise Study.** A noise study providing for current and project noise levels and proposed attenuation must be submitted as part of the civil engineering review for